Case: 14-55873, 06/28/2017, ID: 10490254, DktEntry: 77-1, Page 1 of 3

No.: 14-55873 [DC 2:11-cv-09916-SJO-SS]

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

Charles Nichols,

Plaintiff-Appellant,

v.

EDMUND G. BROWN JR., in his official capacity as Governor of California

and

XAVIER BECERRA, in his official capacity as Attorney General of California,

Defendants-Appellees.

ON APPEAL FROM THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA [DC 2:11-cv-09916-SJO-SS]

PLAINTIFF-APPELLANT NICHOLS' NOTICE OF RELATED CASE

Charles Nichols
PO Box 1302
Redondo Beach, CA 90278
Tel. No. (424) 634-7381
e-mail: CharlesNichols@Pykrete.info
In Pro Per

Case: 14-55873, 06/28/2017, ID: 10490254, DktEntry: 77-1, Page 2 of 3

NOTICE TO THE COURT, CLERK, AND ALL PARTIES AND THEIR ATTORNEYS OF RECORD:

Pursuant to the Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure 28-2.6(c) Plaintiff-Appellant Nichols identified in his Statement of Related Cases on the last page of his initial brief (Appellant's Opening Brief) two cases, the first of which has been remanded back to the district court. The related case still on appeal should be docketed as related on both *Nichols* and *Young's* dockets.

George Young, Jr. v. State of Hawaii, et al No.: 12-17808

"Mr. Young raises for the first time on appeal a challenge to Hawaii's ban on openly carrying long guns in public." Plaintiff-Appellant Nichols' Opening Brief page 95.

Nichols, in both the district court and on appeal, seeks to enjoin California's bans on openly carrying loaded and unloaded *long guns* for the purpose of self-defense and for other lawful purposes.

Likewise, *Nichols* seeks to enjoin California's bans on openly carrying loaded and unloaded *handguns* for the purpose of self-defense.

As to California's handgun licensing laws, *Nichols* seeks to enjoin them in their entirety. Failing that, *Nichols* seeks to invalidate the laws as they apply to licenses to openly carry a handgun (California does not require a license to openly carry a long gun) and *Nichols* seeks an unrestricted license to openly carry a handgun if the handgun licensing laws are not struck down in their entirety.

Case: 14-55873, 06/28/2017, ID: 10490254, DktEntry: 77-1, Page 3 of 3

In the district court, Mr. Young's Prayer for Relief (Complaint pg 52) asks that Mr. Young be immediately issued "[A] Concealed Carry Weapons Permit or an Unconcealed Carry Weapons permit for a period of not less than three years."

Mr. Young, who represented himself in the district court, plainly stated that he was not seeking a license to carry just handguns but was seeking a license to carry *weapons*, including weapons banned in Hawaii (such as switch-blades).

Nichols does not seek to carry banned weapons.

On appeal, Mr. Young (now represented by an attorney) seeks to compel the legislature to write a new law instead of directly seeking a license to openly carry a handgun under current Hawaii law.

As Federal courts cannot compel legislatures to make a cup of tea, let alone to write new laws, and Federal courts are prohibited from writing laws, it is doubtful that Mr. Young seeks relief which can be granted by a Federal court.

However, in the event that this Court is willing to liberally construe appellate briefs written by an attorney and to liberally construe Mr. Young's Complaint to include a viable claim for a license to openly carry a *handgun* in public then *Young* is related to *Nichols* in that respect as well.

Date: June 28, 2017 Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Charles Nichols CHARLES NICHOLS Plaintiff-Appellant In Pro Per

Case: 14-55873	3, 06/28/2017, ID: 10490254, DktEntry: 77-2, Page 1 of 1
9th Circuit Case Number(s)	14-55873
NOTE: To secure your input, yo	ou should print the filled-in form to PDF (File > Print > PDF Printer/Creator).
**********	*********************
	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE
When All Case Partici	pants are Registered for the Appellate CM/ECF System
	nically filed the foregoing with the Clerk of the Court for the als for the Ninth Circuit by using the appellate CM/ECF system.
I certify that all participants i accomplished by the appellat	n the case are registered CM/ECF users and that service will be the CM/ECF system.
Signature (use "s/" format)	/s/ Charles Nichols
I hereby certify that I electron United States Court of Appea on (date) Participants in the case who a CM/ECF system.	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE icipants are Registered for the Appellate CM/ECF System nically filed the foregoing with the Clerk of the Court for the als for the Ninth Circuit by using the appellate CM/ECF system. are registered CM/ECF users will be served by the appellate
have mailed the foregoing do	the participants in the case are not registered CM/ECF users. I becument by First-Class Mail, postage prepaid, or have dispatched it arrier for delivery within 3 calendar days to the following
Signature (use "s/" format)	